## Defendant Jarvis' Reply Motion to Dismiss

## Exhibit E

Transcript Excerpts as Cited in Brief from hearings on

October 27, 2005

**&** 

October 11, 2007

1 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICORED 1 2 DEC 1 3 2005 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3 Plaintiff, 4 NO. Cr-05-10-2 5 vs. DANA JARVIS, 6 Defendant. 7 8 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 october 27, 2005 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JUDITH C. HERRERA 10 United States District Judge 11 <u>APPEARANCES</u> 12 For the Plaintiff: James R.W. Braun 13 Stephen R. Kotz Assistant United States Attorneys 14 P.O. Box 607 Albuquerque, NM 87103 15 For Defendant Mary Cannant: 16 Ann Steinmetz Attorney at Law 17 Box 4305 Albuquerque, NM 87196-4305 18 For the Defendant Jorge Luis Ortiz-Moffett: 19 Arman Salese Attorney at Law 20 145 S. 6th Ave Tucson, AZ 85701 21 Tova Indritz 22 Attorney at Law 23 715 Tijeras Avenue, NW Albuquerque, NM 87102-3076 .24

> PAUL BACA OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER (505) 348-2228

25

represent you on the criminal matter, Ms. Fitzgerald, and Mr. Jarvis, the same question on the forfeiture matter?

MS. FITZGERALD: Yes, I waive any conflict, Your Honor.

MR. JARVIS: Yes, I do, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1,8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me ask Mr. Braun, is there anything in addition?

MR. BRAUN: Your Honor, in footnote two of the government's motion there are many potential conflicts that the Court raised in that <u>Herrera</u> case. I think there are a few more if the Court --

THE COURT: Okay. Let me double-check here.

MR. BRAUN: And then also I would like to raise the issue, as the Court is aware Ms. Rosenstein, of course, was appointed under the Criminal Justice Act, and I don't think it is appropriate to have a defendant retain an attorney as to one part of the criminal prosecution, but have the government pay for an attorney as the other part.

MR. McINTYRE: May I address that, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. McINTYRE: Mr. Jarvis is indigent at the moment because all of his assets have been frozen, and by the way, I fully disclosed this matter to Ms. Rosenstein and she agreed to allow me to enter for the forfeiture issues. A friend of Mr. Jarvis delivered to me an initial retainer fee which I

disclosed to counsel, I told him who it was and I said look the guy up, if you are going to seize my bank account do it now, and apparently, I am not sure whether they think that they haven't seized it, the money is in my trust account, I haven't dispersed a dime of it yet, but he is still indigent, it is not his money that retained me, it is a friend who has on the check loan for Dana Jarvis.

Now, if we can unfreeze some of his assets, then I would say yes, he would have to retain Ms. Rosenstein privately. But at this moment in time he is indigent, and I was retained by a friend, and I don't think that it is appropriate to say, well, now he has retained an attorney and he can't have appointed counsel at this moment. If the government were to agree to unfreeze enough money to pay attorneys, I would change my position.

MR. JARVIS: Your Honor, I have two private attorneys lined up, private attorneys who are willing to take my case if I was able to pay for them the monies that were frozen in my, or seized from my bank account, came from a title company in Santa Fe and a trust in Colorado. Those are 100 percent legitimate assets from a legitimate source, and I don't believe that the government had any right putting their hands on that money, and I would appreciate it if the account could be unfrozen.

I have back child support payments that are due also

on the money coming from my night club, there is taxes and insurance I have due, and the government's planning on taking my house away, my home in Bernalillo, and I have a mortgage payment with Commercial Federal on that house, and I have been in jail now over two months. The third mortgage payment is overdue, it is coming up, Commercial Federal has started foreclosure proceedings on that house. So if the government wants to take that away from me, they ought to let me get to my money so I can make the payments on it so they will have something to take away, otherwise between the ex-wives, you know, Mr. Braun, Your Honor, with all due respect, I beg of you, put your foot down and to unfreeze my assets so that I can get proper defense and pay for an attorney on my own, and not put, keep the burden on the government, and also so that Mr. Braun and his crew doesn't end up in court with the State of New Mexico, two lending institutions and two ex-wives. I wouldn't wish that on anybody.

Thanks for letting me talk, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Braun.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1,2

13

14

15

1,6

17

18

19

20

21

22

33

14

5

MR. BRAUN: Your Honor, we are not here to address that issue at this time, but under the CJA the defendant is entitled to one counsel for this case. If he is going to have an appointed counsel, she can represent him on the whole case. CJA, from my understanding, does not differentiate between the forfeiture portion of the criminal case and the

guilt portion of the criminal case, he is entitled to one lawyer.

1.

2,

3

4

5.

6

7.

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, if it is a loan, as Mr. McIntyre said, then it is Mr. Jarvis' money that he used to retain Mr. McIntyre. Mr. McIntyre represents Mr. Jarvis, not the friend who loaned the money, so he can either retain Mr. McIntyre for the entire case or have a CJA attorney appointed for the entire case, but he is not entitled to two.

THE COURT: All right. We really aren't here on that issue right at the moment, with respect to the appointment of counsel or even addressing all of the asset issues that Mr. Jarvis discussed. So back to the issue really on potential conflict, let me ask the defendants a few more questions here. Again, going back to the issue of independent representation where you have different attorneys and some of the issues that could arise. One of the issues that has been identified by our appellate courts is do you understand that it could arise that one of you might cooperate with the government in exchange for leniency, that might be a conflict that could arise between the two of you. Again, I am not saying what the facts are in this case, I am just saying that's an issue that could result in a conflict between. Do you understand that?

MS. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. JARVIS: I understand that definitely wouldn't

l 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 5 Plaintiff, NO. CR-05-1849 JH 6 vs. 7 DANA JARVIS, et al., Defendants. 8 9 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS October 11, 2007 10 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JUDITH C. HERRERA 11. United States District Judge . 12 APPEARANCES 13 For the Plaintiff: 14 James R.W. Braun Stephen R. Kotz 15 Assistant U.S. Attorneys P. O. Box 607 16 Albuquerque, NM 87103 17 18 For the Defendants: 19 Jody Neal-Post Attorney at Law 20 317 Amherst SE Albuquerque, NM 87106 21 Robert J. Gorence 22 Attorney at Law 201 12th St. NW 23 Albuquerque, NM 87102 24 25

> PAUL BACA OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER (505) 348-2228

can't talk very loud.

1.5

THE COURT: We do have someone on the telephone who needs to hear us, so any of you who speak, it probably would help if you spoke into the microphone.

MR. GORENCE: There's a couple of issues. The first, I would just like clarification. After the les pendens is removed is the Court contemplating a period of time after? And there is presently a filed motion on behalf of Mr. Jarvis to dismiss, but that he would engage private counsel to the extent that is possible with the property that is now unattached, because it seems, I know that that's the government's concern as we look at scheduling, I don't know, and with Mr. Romero's departure to Iraq, where we can really meaningfully meet unless Miss Neal-Post is going to take over full time and --

THE COURT: Well, I guess that's one of the things that I need to hear from Miss Post on, or all of you on. I recognize the fact that there may be scheduling issues in this case that might be different from most cases, so I do want you all to -- I'll just have to hear from you all on that.

Mr. Braun, it looks like you have something to say.

MR. BRAUN: Well, Your Honor, from the government's perspective I think there needs to be some deadlines in place to force the issue so that Mr. Jarvis knows he needs to hire this attorney, either a trial deadline or something of that

sort. As far as coming up with scheduling dates, it would be helpful if I had just one person designated from the defense to deal with who could act on behalf of all the defense attorneys.

MR. GORENCE: That's going to be very difficult, Your Honor. It's one thing to coordinate. Your Honor, I can meet with the U.S. Attorney's Office, but to have my schedule, and everybody's schedule, just for simplicity it's going to be very difficult. I have, currently, the courthouse case has got a firm setting of May 5. Mr. Blackburn and Mr. Sizemore, I think that's going to be difficult just to, say, appoint one person, and here is the matrix of where we are preoccupied within another courtroom.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not necessarily asking for you all to set a goal for a trial date, I'm asking, really, for you all to give me some guidance on the motions that need to be filed, and so forth. I'll set a trial date and then we will deal with that after I set the trial date. But obviously we need to set a trial date, and I intend to do that. And again, I expect to hear from Mr. Jarvis's counsel on some of the other issues that you touched on, Mr. Gorence, other counsel and things of that nature. I didn't mean that you had to address it today but --

MS. NEAL-POST: I can address Mr. Romero's availability. I spoke with him at 11:30 this morning. He